

## TOWN OF NEW GLARUS PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL VILLAGE MAP

Seward asked the group for specific recommendations or areas of concern.

- J. Ott asked suggested engineering of the proposed roads fit with the engineering of sewer and water
- K. Seward noted the road through Neuchatel is straight although the plan presented by the developer was for a curved one; the north end of the road on this map runs through the proposed location of stormwater detention basins
- K. Seward thought the road paralleling Legler Valley Road appears superfluous; the east-west connection should be eliminated and should go straight south to Legler Valley Road
- K. Seward proposed eliminating the proposed roads to the east of the intersection of CTH H and State Highway 69 that extend to Valley View Road; they wind through a swamp and cross the Little Sugar River and appear to have no purpose and would be costly
- G. Thomson noted the extension of Industrial Drive across Highway 69 to County O and the parallel road to the north suggests the Village may be interested in extending the Industrial Park into this region, an area privately held with owners resistant to such action
- G. Thomson suggested the Village propose a plan with fewer roads in locations given greater thought; focus on the likeliest area of development to the west of the Village along Durst Road
- G. Thomson thought the extension of roads within future phases of Valle Tell should be determined by the developer, not the Village
- R. Reis suggested a topographic map be consulted by the Village when reviewing these proposed road locations

B. Elkins assumed the map is theoretical and no engineering study has been done. G. Thomson conjectured that the rationale behind the map might be to avoid compensation for improvements added after the map is accepted upon condemnation. Seward noted the proposed road to the east of the Schwoerer property on Durst Road was the result of the of the New Glarus Home refusal for a Village road on the Home's property. G. Thomson asked whether the continuation of W west of 69 has been approved by the Village; it has been proposed for access to Backtown, but the cost of the bridge without a commitment for development has delayed any action. There was brief discussion regarding the impact upon stormwater and groundwater with some roads that pass through wetlands and across rivers. K. Seward asked this body whether the Town should review the major development cluster potential for those Districts outside of the A-T; Seward thought the information may be useful to the Village. Deputy Clerk Wright noted that all 36 sections of the Town have already been reviewed for their development potential outside of the A-T District.